TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	ntroduction		
II.	Background			
	A.	Defendants' Purchase of Subject Properties		
	B.	The Pukal	lani STP	4
	C.	The Lis Pendens		5
III.	Legal Argument			7
	A.	The <i>Lis Pendens</i> Should Be Discharged Because the Plaintiff's Claims Do Not Affect the Subject Properties		
	В.	Claims Under Haw. Rev. Stat. Ch. 651C are Limited to Money Damages, and Thus, Not Properly the Subject of a <i>Lis Pendens</i>		
	C.	Because I Collection Plaintiff I	the dense Cannot be Utilized by Plaintiff Merely It Wishes to Have a Collateral Interest to Secure In of a Judgment it Hopes to Recover, When the Does Not Assert a Claim to Title or Possession of Iter Property	12
		Pen	e Purpose of a <i>Lis Pendens</i> Is To Provide Notice of ading Litigation and Not to Make Plaintiffs cured Creditors	12
		Rig	Plaintiff Must Assert a Direct Claim to Title or a ght of Possession in the Subject Property in Order Be Entitled to a <i>Lis Pendens</i>	15
	D.	Neither the Plaintiff's Rescission Claim, Nor Any Other Claim for Equitable Relief, Entitles the Plaintiff to the <i>Lis Pendens</i>		17
	E.	Even if the Transfer of the Pukalani Properties were Rescinded, the Defendants Would Still Be Entitled to an Interest in the Pukalani Properties Under Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-8(d)(1)		
IV.	Conc	Conclusion		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<u>AIG Hawaii Ins. Co., Inc. v. Bateman</u> , 82 Hawaii 453, 923 P.2d 325 (1996)	18
Beneficial Hawaii, Inc. v. Kida, 96 Hawaii 289, 30 P.3d 895 (2001)	19
Cole, Schotz, Bernstein, Meisel & Forman v. Owens, 679 A.2d 155 (N.J. Super. Ct. 1996)	8
C3 Media & Marketing Group, LLC v. Firstgate Internet, Inc., 419 F. Supp. 2d 419 (S.D.N.Y. 2005)	18-19
Dow Chemical Co. v. United States, 226 F.3d 1334 (2000)	18
Emhart Corp. v. McLarty, 226 Ga. 621, 176 S.E. 2d 698 (1970)	20
Knauer v. Foote, 101 Hawaii 81. 63 P.3d 389 (2003)	16-17
La Paglia v. Superior Court, 215 Cal.App. 3d 1322 (1989)	16
S. Utsunomiya Enterprises, Inc. v. Moomuku Country Club, 75 Haw. 480, 866 P.2d 951 (1994)	7, 8, 13-16, 19
<u>Tewa Tesuque v. Morton</u> , 360 F. Supp. 452 (D. N.M. 1973)	20
Zola v. Gordon, 685 F. Supp. 354 (S.D.N.Y. 1988)	18
<u>STATUTES</u>	
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 634-51	12-13
Haw. Rev. Stat. Ch. 651C	5, 6
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-4	2, 5, 9
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-5	2, 5, 9
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-7	9. 11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (cont'd)

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-8	9, 10,
	12, 20,
	21
11 U.S.C. § 550	9, 10,
	12
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
13 Am. Jur. 2d Cancellation of Instruments § 48 (2005)	20
51 Am Jur 2d <i>Lis Pendens</i> §28 (2005)	8
12A C.J.S. Cancellation of Instruments § 3 (2005)	18
12A C.J.S. Cancellation of Instruments §9 (2005)	18
Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act § 8 cmt. (2) (1984)	9, 11